A Gift of Fire

Third edition

Sara Baase

Chapter 4: Intellectual Property

This is copyrighted material for instructional use by Dr. Marek Suchenek and his current students only. Any other use (including copying and downloading) is not allowed. Colored modifications of the original were done by Marek Suchenek.

What We Will Cover

- Intellectual Property and Changing Technology
- Copyright Law
- Copying and Sharing
- Search Engines and Online Libraries
- Free-Speech Issues
- "Free" Software
- Issues for Software Developers

- The intangible creative work, not its particular physical form
- Value of intelligence and artistic work comes from creativity, ideas, research, problem solving, skills, labor, non-material efforts and attributes the creator provides
- Protected by copyright and patent law

- The intangible creative work, not its particular physical form
- Value of intelligence and artistic work comes from creativity, ideas, research, problem solving, skills, labor, non-material efforts and attributes the creator provides
- Protected by copyright and patent law

- The intangible creative work, not its particular physical form
- Value of intelligence and artistic work comes from creativity, ideas, research, problem solving, skills, labor, non-material efforts and attributes the creator provides
- Protected by copyright and patent law

- The intangible creative work, not its particular physical form
- Value of intelligence and artistic work comes from creativity, ideas, research, problem solving, skills, labor, non-material efforts and attributes the creator provides
- Protected by copyright and patent law

- The intangible creative work, not its particular physical form
- Value of intelligence and artistic work comes from creativity, ideas, research, problem solving, skills, labor, non-material efforts and attributes the creator provides
- Protected by copyright and patent law

- The intangible creative work, not its particular physical form
- Value of intelligence and artistic work comes from creativity, ideas, research, problem solving, skills, labor, non-material efforts and attributes the creator provides
- Protected by copyright and patent law

U.S. Constitution

Article I, Section 8, Clause 8 [The Congress shall have power]

U.S. Constitution

Article I, Section 8, Clause 8 [The Congress shall have power]

U.S. Constitution

Article I, Section 8, Clause 8 [The Congress shall have power]

U.S. Constitution

Article I, Section 8, Clause 8 [The Congress shall have power]

- Copyright holders have exclusive rights:
 - To make copies
 - To produce derivative works, such as translations into other languages or movies based on books
 - To distribute copies
 - To perform the work in public (e.g. music, plays)
 - To display the work in public (e.g. artwork, movies, computer games, video on a Web site)

- Copyright holders have exclusive rights:
 - To make copies
 - To produce derivative works, such as translations into other languages or movies based on books
 - To distribute copies
 - To perform the work in public (e.g. music, plays)
 - To display the work in public (e.g. artwork, movies, computer games, video on a Web site)

- Copyright holders have exclusive rights:
 - To make copies
 - To produce derivative works, such as translations into other languages or movies based on books
 - To distribute copies
 - To perform the work in public (e.g. music, plays)
 - To display the work in public (e.g. artwork, movies, computer games, video on a Web site)

- Copyright holders have exclusive rights:
 - To make copies
 - To produce derivative works, such as translations into other languages or movies based on books
 - To distribute copies
 - To perform the work in public (e.g. music, plays)
 - To display the work in public (e.g. artwork, movies, computer games, video on a Web site)

- Copyright holders have exclusive rights:
 - To make copies
 - To produce derivative works, such as translations into other languages or movies based on books
 - To distribute copies
 - To perform the work in public (e.g. music, plays)
 - To display the work in public (e.g. artwork, movies, computer games, video on a Web site)

- Copyright holders have exclusive rights:
 - To make copies
 - To produce derivative works, such as translations into other languages or movies based on books
 - To distribute copies
 - To perform the work in public (e.g. music, plays)
 - To display the work in public (e.g. artwork, movies, computer games, video on a Web site)

- Copyright holders have exclusive rights:
 - To make copies
 - To produce derivative works, such as translations into other languages or movies based on books
 - To distribute copies
 - To perform the work in public (e.g. music, plays)
 - To display the work in public (e.g. artwork, movies, computer games, video on a Web site)
 - To transfer the copyright or grant a license

- Digital technology and the internet has made copyright infringement easier and cheaper
- New compression technologies have made copying large files (e.g. graphics, video and audio files) feasible
- New tools allow us to modify graphics, video and audio files to make derivative works
- Scanners allow us to change the media of a copyrighted work, converting printed text, photos, and artwork to electronic form

- Digital technology and the internet has made copyright infringement easier and cheaper
- New compression technologies have made copying large files (e.g. graphics, video and audio files) feasible
- New tools allow us to modify graphics, video and audio files to make derivative works
- Scanners allow us to change the media of a copyrighted work, converting printed text, photos, and artwork to electronic form

- Digital technology and the internet has made copyright infringement easier and cheaper
- New compression technologies have made copying large files (e.g. graphics, video and audio files) feasible
- New tools allow us to modify graphics, video and audio files to make derivative works
- Scanners allow us to change the media of a copyrighted work, converting printed text, photos, and artwork to electronic form

- Digital technology and the internet has made copyright infringement easier and cheaper
- New compression technologies have made copying large files (e.g. graphics, video and audio files) feasible
- New tools allow us to modify graphics, video and audio files to make derivative works
- Scanners allow us to change the media of a copyrighted work, converting printed text, photos, and artwork to electronic form

- Digital technology and the internet has made copyright infringement easier and cheaper
- New compression technologies have made copying large files (e.g. graphics, video and audio files) feasible
- New tools allow us to modify graphics, video and audio files to make derivative works
- Scanners allow us to change the media of a copyrighted work, converting printed text, photos, and artwork to electronic form

- Digital technology and the internet has made copyright infringement easier and cheaper
- New compression technologies have made copying large files (e.g. graphics, video and audio files) feasible
- New tools allow us to modify graphics, video and audio files to make derivative works
- Scanners allow us to change the media of a copyrighted work, converting printed text, photos, and artwork to electronic form

Fair Use Doctrine (17 U.S.C. § 107):

- The reproduction of a particular work <u>may</u> be considered fair, such as
 - criticism,
 - comment,
 - news reporting,
 - teaching,
 - scholarship, and
 - research.

Fair Use Doctrine (17 U.S.C. § 107):

- The reproduction of a particular work <u>may</u> be considered fair, such as
 - criticism,
 - comment,
 - news reporting,
 - teaching (but not necessarily learning),
 - scholarship, and
 - research.

- Four factors considered
 - Purpose and nature of use commercial (less likely) or non-profit purposes
 - Nature of the copyrighted work
 - Amount of significance or portion used
 - Effect of use on potential market or value of the copyright work (will it reduce sales of work?)
- No single factor alone determines
- Not all factors given equal weight, varies by circumstance

- Four factors considered
 - Purpose and nature of use commercial (less likely) or non-profit purposes
 - Nature of the copyrighted work
 - Amount of significance or portion used
 - Effect of use on potential market or value of the copyright work (will it reduce sales of work?)
- No single factor alone determines
- Not all factors given equal weight, varies by circumstance

- Four factors considered
 - Purpose and nature of use commercial (less likely) or non-profit purposes
 - Nature of the copyrighted work
 - Amount of significance or portion used
 - Effect of use on potential market or value of the copyright work (will it reduce sales of work?)
- No single factor alone determines
- Not all factors given equal weight, varies by circumstance

- Four factors considered
 - Purpose and nature of use commercial (less likely) or non-profit purposes
 - Nature of the copyrighted work
 - Amount of significance or portion used
 - Effect of use on potential market or value of the copyright work (will it reduce sales of work?)
- No single factor alone determines
- Not all factors given equal weight, varies by circumstance

- Four factors considered
 - Purpose and nature of use commercial (less likely) or non-profit purposes
 - Nature of the copyrighted work
 - Amount of significance or portion used
 - Effect of use on potential market or value of the copyright work (will it reduce sales of work?)
- No single factor alone determines
- Not all factors given equal weight, varies by circumstance

- Four factors considered
 - Purpose and nature of use commercial (less likely) or non-profit purposes
 - Nature of the copyrighted work
 - Amount of significance or portion used
 - Effect of use on potential market or value of the copyright work (will it reduce sales of work?)
- No single factor alone determines
- Not all factors given equal weight, varies by circumstance

- Four factors considered
 - Purpose and nature of use commercial (less likely) or non-profit purposes
 - Nature of the copyrighted work
 - Amount of significance or portion used
 - Effect of use on potential market or value of the copyright work (will it reduce sales of work?)
- No single factor alone determines
- Not all factors given equal weight, varies by circumstance

- Four factors considered
 - Purpose and nature of use commercial (less likely) or non-profit purposes
 - Nature of the copyrighted work
 - Amount of significance or portion used
 - Effect of use on potential market or value of the copyright work (will it reduce sales of work?)
- No single factor alone determines
- Not all factors given equal weight, varies by circumstance

Copying and Sharing

Digital Rights Management:

- Collection of techniques that control uses of intellectual property in digital formats
- Includes hardware and software schemes using encryption
- The producer of a file has flexibility to specify what a user may do with it
- Apple, Microsoft and Sony all use different schemes of DRM

- Collection of techniques that control uses of intellectual property in digital formats
- Includes hardware and software schemes using encryption
- The producer of a file has flexibility to specify what a user may do with it
- Apple, Microsoft and Sony all use different schemes of DRM

- Collection of techniques that control uses of intellectual property in digital formats
- Includes hardware and software schemes using encryption
- The producer of a file has flexibility to specify what a user may do with it
- Apple, Microsoft and Sony all use different schemes of DRM

- Collection of techniques that control uses of intellectual property in digital formats
- Includes hardware and software schemes using encryption
- The producer of a file has flexibility to specify what a user may do with it
- Apple, Microsoft and Sony all use different schemes of DRM

- Collection of techniques that control uses of intellectual property in digital formats
- Includes hardware and software schemes using encryption
- The producer of a file has flexibility to specify what a user may do with it
- Apple, Microsoft and Sony all use different schemes of DRM

- Augmented (in 1998) the United States copyright law
- Criminalized production and distribution of means to circumvent access control to copyrighted works
- Criminalized circumventing access control
- Heightened penalties for copyright violations via the Internet

- Augmented (in 1998) the United States copyright law
- Criminalized production and distribution of means to circumvent access control to copyrighted works
- Criminalized circumventing access control
- Heightened penalties for copyright violations via the Internet

- Augmented (in 1998) the United States copyright law
- Criminalized production and distribution of means to circumvent access control to copyrighted works
- Criminalized circumventing access control
- Heightened penalties for copyright violations via the Internet

- Augmented (in 1998) the United States copyright law
- Criminalized production and distribution of means to circumvent access control to copyrighted works
- Criminalized circumventing access control
- Heightened penalties for copyright violations via the Internet

- Augmented (in 1998) the United States copyright law
- Criminalized production and distribution of means to circumvent access control to copyrighted works
- Criminalized circumventing access control
- Heightened penalties for copyright violations via the Internet

- Search Engines
 - Caching and displaying small excerpts is fair use
 - Creating and displaying thumbnail images is fair use
 - Google will, upon request, consider blocking, removing or restricting access to pages that infringe copyright

- Search Engines
 - Caching and displaying small excerpts is fair use
 - Creating and displaying thumbnail images is fair use
 - Google will, upon request, consider blocking, removing or restricting access to pages that infringe copyright

- Search Engines
 - Caching and displaying small excerpts is fair use
 - Creating and displaying thumbnail images is fair use
 - Google will, upon request, consider blocking, removing or restricting access to pages that infringe copyright

- Search Engines
 - Caching and displaying small excerpts is fair use
 - Creating and displaying thumbnail images is fair use
 - Google will, upon request, consider blocking, removing or restricting access to pages that infringe copyright

- Domain names may be used to criticize or protest (e.g. XYZIsJunk.org)
- Companies sue under trademark violation, but most cases dismissed
- Some companies buy numerous domain names containing their name so others cannot use them

- Domain names may be used to criticize or protest (e.g. XYZIsJunk.org)
- Companies sue under trademark violation, but most cases dismissed
- Some companies buy numerous domain names containing their name so others cannot use them

- Domain names may be used to criticize or protest (e.g. XYZIsJunk.org)
- Companies sue under trademark violation, but most cases dismissed
- Some companies buy numerous domain names containing their name so others cannot use them

- Domain names may be used to criticize or protest (e.g. XYZIsJunk.org)
- Companies sue under trademark violation, but most cases dismissed
- Some companies buy numerous domain names containing their name so others cannot use them

- Domain names may be used to criticize or protest (e.g. XYZIsJunk.org)
- Companies sue under trademark violation, but most cases dismissed
- Some companies buy numerous domain names containing their name so others cannot use them

- Documents that are copyrighted and trade secrets have been posted as a form of criticism
- Organizations have sued to have the documents removed from the Web
- In some cases courts have ruled that it is a copyright violation and the documents must be removed
- In one judgment against the Church of Scientology, the court ruled that the church's primary motivation was "to stifle criticism of Scientology in general and to harass its critics"

- Documents that are copyrighted and trade secrets have been posted as a form of criticism
- Organizations have sued to have the documents removed from the Web
- In some cases courts have ruled that it is a copyright violation and the documents must be removed
- In one judgment against the Church of Scientology, the court ruled that the church's primary motivation was "to stifle criticism of Scientology in general and to harass its critics"

- Documents that are copyrighted and trade secrets have been posted as a form of criticism
- Organizations have sued to have the documents removed from the Web
- In some cases courts have ruled that it is a copyright violation and the documents must be removed
- In one judgment against the Church of Scientology, the court ruled that the church's primary motivation was "to stifle criticism of Scientology in general and to harass its critics"

- Documents that are copyrighted and trade secrets have been posted as a form of criticism
- Organizations have sued to have the documents removed from the Web
- In some cases courts have ruled that it is a copyright violation and the documents must be removed
- In one judgment against the Church of Scientology, the court ruled that the church's primary motivation was "to stifle criticism of Scientology in general and to harass its critics"

- Documents that are copyrighted and trade secrets have been posted as a form of criticism
- Organizations have sued to have the documents removed from the Web
- In some cases courts have ruled that it is a copyright violation and the documents must be removed
- In one judgment against the Church of Scientology, the court ruled that the church's primary motivation was "to stifle criticism of Scientology in general and to harass its critics"

- Documents that are copyrighted and trade secrets have been posted as a form of criticism
- Organizations have sued to have the documents removed from the Web
- In some cases courts have ruled that it is a copyright violation and the documents must be removed
- In one judgment against the Church of Scientology, the court ruled that the church's primary motivation was "to stifle criticism of Scientology in general and to harass its critics"

- Documents that are copyrighted and trade secrets have been posted as a form of criticism
- Organizations have sued to have the documents removed from the Web
- In some cases courts have ruled that it is a copyright violation and the documents must be removed
- In one judgment against the Church of Scientology, the court ruled that the church's primary motivation was "to stifle criticism of Scientology in general and to harass its critics"

 Freebies make it more difficult to turn prasitism from adaptive into maladaptive behavior.

 Freebies make it more difficult to turn prasitism from adaptive into maladaptive behavior.

- "Free" software idea, an ethic, advocated and supported by large, loose-knit group of computer programmers who allow people to copy, use, and modify their software
- Free means freedom of use, not necessarily lack of cost
- Open source software distributed or made public in source code (readable and modifiable)
- Proprietary software (commercial) sold in object code (obscure, not modifiable) (E.g.: Microsoft Office)

- "Free" software idea, an ethic, advocated and supported by large, loose-knit group of computer programmers who allow people to copy, use, and modify their software
- "Free" means freedom of use, not necessarily lack of cost
- Open source software distributed or made public in source code (readable and modifiable)
- Proprietary software (commercial) sold in object code (obscure, not modifiable) (E.g.: Microsoft Office)

- "Free" software idea, an ethic, advocated and supported by large, loose-knit group of computer programmers who allow people to copy, use, and modify their software
- "Free" means freedom of use, not necessarily lack of cost
- Open source software distributed or made public in source code (readable and modifiable)
- Proprietary software (commercial) sold in object code (obscure, not modifiable) (E.g.: Microsoft Office)

- "Free" software idea, an ethic, advocated and supported by large, loose-knit group of computer programmers who allow people to copy, use, and modify their software
- "Free" means freedom of use, not necessarily lack of cost
- Open source software distributed or made public in source code (readable and modifiable)
- Proprietary software (commercial) sold in object code (obscure, not modifiable) (E.g.: Microsoft Office)

- "Free" software idea, an ethic, advocated and supported by large, loose-knit group of computer programmers who allow people to copy, use, and modify their software
- "Free" means freedom of use, not necessarily lack of cost
- Open source software distributed or made public in source code (readable and modifiable)
- Proprietary software (commercial) sold in object code (obscure, not modifiable) (E.g.: Microsoft Office)

Should All Software Be "Free"?

- Would there be sufficient incentives to produce the huge quantity of consumer software available now?
- Would the current funding methods for "free" software be sufficient to support all software development?
- Should software be covered under copyright law?
- Concepts such as copyleft and the GNU Public License provide alternatives to proprietary software within today's current legal framework

Should All Software Be "Free"?

- Would there be sufficient incentives to produce the huge quantity of consumer software available now?
- Would the current funding methods for "free" software be sufficient to support all software development?
- Should software be covered under copyright law?
- Concepts such as copyleft and the GNU Public License provide alternatives to proprietary software within today's current legal framework

Should All Software Be "Free"?

- Would there be sufficient incentives to produce the huge quantity of consumer software available now?
- Would the current funding methods for "free" software be sufficient to support all software development?
- Should software be covered under copyright law?
- Concepts such as copyleft and the GNU Public License provide alternatives to proprietary software within today's current legal framework

- Would there be sufficient incentives to produce the huge quantity of consumer software available now?
- Would the current funding methods for "free" software be sufficient to support all software development?
- Should software be covered under copyright law?
- Concepts such as copyleft and the GNU Public License provide alternatives to proprietary software within today's current legal framework

- Would there be sufficient incentives to produce the huge quantity of consumer software available now?
- Would the current funding methods for "free" software be sufficient to support all software development?
- Should software be covered under copyright law?
- Concepts such as copyleft and the GNU Public License provide alternatives to proprietary software within today's current legal framework

Should All Software Be "Free"?

Is software over-protected?

Should All Software Be "Free"?

Is software over-protected? No

- Is software over-protected?
- Do software creators make too much money?

- Is software over-protected?
- Do software creators make too much money? No

- Is software over-protected?
- Do software creators make too much money?
- Who will pay for development of "free" software?

Should All Software Be "Free"?

Who will pay for development of "free" software?

Should All Software Be "Free"?

Who will pay for development of "free" software?

Some people seem to believe that the government is (or should) be the source of all wealth, including software, and that it should distribute it evenly to those who need it.

Should All Software Be "Free"?

Who will pay for development of "free" software?

Some people seem to believe that the government is (or should) be the source of all wealth, including software, and that it should distribute it evenly to those who need it.

Should All Software Be "Free"?

Who will pay for development of "free" software?

Some people seem to believe that the government is (or should) be the source of all wealth, including software, and that it should distribute it evenly to those who need it.

That may seem fair for, say, natural resources, but is definitely unfair for fruits of one's work.

Should All Software Be "Free"?

Who will pay for development of "free" software?

Some people seem to believe that the government is (or should) be the source of all wealth, including software, and that it should distribute it evenly to those who need it.

That may seem fair for, say, natural resources, but is definitely unfair for truits of one's work.

- Is software over-protected?
- Do software creators make too much money?
- Who will pay for development of "free" software?

- Is software over-protected?
- Do software creators make too much money?
- Who will pay for development of "free" software?
- If software should be "free", why crude oil should not?

- Is software over-protected?
- Do software creators make too much money?
- Who will pay for development of "free" software?
- If software should be "free", why crude oil should not? It takes a lot of study and hard work to create software, but little work to pump crude oil.

- Is software over-protected?
- Do software creators make too much money?
- Who will pay for development of "free" software?
- If software should be "free", why crude oil should not? It takes a lot of study and hard work to create software, but little work to pump crude oil.

Patents for Software

- Patents protect inventions of new things or processes
- The Supreme Court refrained from clear opinion regarding software patentability
- Patents are not supposed to be given for things that are obvious or are already in common use
- The Patent Office has made mistakes

Patents for Software

- Patents protect inventions of new things or processes
- The Supreme Court refrained from clear opinion regarding software patentability
- Patents are not supposed to be given for things that are obvious or are already in common use
- The Patent Office has made mistakes

Patents for Software

 The main function of patents is not to motivate new inventive processes but to protect the existing ones!

Patent Law (Title 35 U.S.C. § 101, Inventions patentable):

"Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title."

where § 100 of that Law defines "process" as "process, art or method," including "a new use of a known process, machine, manufacture, composition of matter, or material."

Supreme Justice Kennedy:

"It is important to emphasize that the Court today is not commenting on the patentability of any particular invention, let alone holding that any of the abovementioned technologies from the Information Age should or should not receive patent protection. [...]
[T]he patent law faces a great challenge in striking

the balance between protecting inventors and not granting monopolies over procedures that others would discover by independent, creative application of general principles."

Mandatory reading:

On the Software Patenting Controversy

(for in-classroom use only)

http://csc.csudh.edu/suchenek/CSC301/PatentsForSoftwareArticle.pdf

Marx declared intellectual property as a surplus value

Marx declared intellectual property as a surplus value

Marx's thesis has been lauded by socialists as the most important "scientific" discovery in theory of class conflict

Marx declared intellectual property as a surplus value

He postulated that it squarely belongs to blue-collar workers (the so-called "proletariat") participating in manufacturing of products that utilize it

Thus Marx decided that the fruits of work of knowledge workers do not belong to these knowledge workers but to the "proletariat".

Thus Marx decided that the fruits of work of knowledge workers do not belong to these knowledge workers but to the "proletariat".

By doing so, he clearly violated natural right of an individual to the fruits of his/her own work. (Recall John Locke's article on Natural Rights discussed in Chap. 1.)

Followers of Marx agree: the fruits of work of knowledge workers do not belong to these knowledge workers but to the "proletariat".

Followers of Marx agree: the fruits of work of knowledge workers do not belong to these knowledge workers but to the "proletariat".

This is one of the reasons why you, the future knowledge worker, may become the most exploited class in the modern history of the West

Followers of Marx agree: the fruits of work of knowledge workers do not belong to these knowledge workers but to the "proletariat".

This is one of the reasons why you, the future knowledge worker, may become the most exploited class in the modern history of the West

To be continued in Lecture Notes