an intelligent design of a society.

- an intelligent design of a society.
 - it incorporates a simplistic (naive) model of society in which determinism replaces freedom

- an intelligent design of a society.
 - it incorporates a simplistic (naive) model of society in which determinism replaces freedom

- an intelligent design of a society.
 - it incorporates a simplistic (naive) model of society in which determinism replaces freedom

- an intelligent design of a society.
 - it incorporates a simplistic (naive) model of society in which determinism replaces freedom

- an intelligent design of a society.
 - it incorporates a simplistic (naive) model of society in which determinism replaces freedom

- an intelligent design of a society.
 - it incorporates a simplistic (naive) model of society in which determinism replaces freedom
 - it is social creationism

- an intelligent design of a society.
- submission of the individual to the collective.

- an intelligent design of a society.
- submission of the individual to the collective.
 - so, socialism is an ideology of submission

- an intelligent design of a society.
- submission of the individual to the collective.
 - so, socialism is an ideology of submission

- an intelligent design of a society.
- submission of the individual to the collective.
 - so, socialism is an ideology of submission

- an intelligent design of a society.
- submission of the individual to the collective.

- an intelligent design of a society.
- submission of the individual to the collective.
- elimination of competition.

- an intelligent design of a society.
- submission of the individual to the collective.
- elimination of competition,
 - comprehensive planning, leveling, and redistribution of wealth being forms of it.

- an intelligent design of a society.
- submission of the individual to the collective.
- elimination of competition,
 - comprehensive planning, leveling, and redistribution of wealth being forms of it.

- an intelligent design of a society.
- submission of the individual to the collective.
- elimination of competition,
 - comprehensive planning, leveling, and redistribution of wealth being forms of it.

- an intelligent design of a society.
- submission of the individual to the collective.
- elimination of competition,
 - comprehensive planning, leveling, and redistribution of wealth being forms of it.

- an intelligent design of a society.
- submission of the individual to the collective.
- elimination of competition,
 - political preferences dominate over reason and merit.

- an intelligent design of a society.
- submission of the individual to the collective.
- elimination of competition,
 - political preferences dominate over reason and merit.

- an intelligent design of a society.
- submission of the individual to the collective.
- elimination of competition.
- prone to emergence of dictatorships.

prone to emergence of dictatorships.

prone to emergence of dictatorships.

Imposition of socialism requires violations of individual rights. (For a "good cause")

prone to emergence of dictatorships.

Imposition of socialism requires violations of individual rights. (For a "good cause")

It also exempts socialist leaders from laws and accountability. (For a "good cause")

prone to emergence of dictatorships.

Imposition of socialism requires violations of individual rights. (For a "good cause")

It also exempts socialist leaders from laws and accountability. (For a "good cause")

The above facilitate the same for a bad cause, and takeovers by opporunists.

prone to emergence of dictatorships.

Imposition of socialism requires violations of individual rights. (For a "good cause")

It also exempts socialist leaders from laws and accountability. (For a "good cause")

The above facilitate the same for a bad cause, and hostile takeovers.

Hence dictatorships inevitably follow.

prone to emergence of dictatorships.

Imposition of socialism requires violations of individual rights. (For a "good cause")

It also exempts socialist leaders from laws and accountability. (For a "good cause")

The above facilitate the same for a bad cause, and hostile takeovers.

Hence dictatorships inevitably follow.

a faith in

 a faith in and an overriding commitment to

 a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology

 a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology despite the facts that may and do contradict it.

- a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology despite the facts that may and do contradict it.
 - Political correctness:

- a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology despite the facts that may and do contradict it.
 - Political correctness:
 - "Comrade, your statement is factually incorrect."

- a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology despite the facts that may and do contradict it.
 - Political correctness:
 - "Comrade, your statement is factually incorrect."
 - "Yes, but it is *politically* correct."

- a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology despite the facts that may and do contradict it.
- social engineering

- a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology despite the facts that may and do contradict it.
- social engineering by those who gave themselves a mandate

- a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology despite the facts that may and do contradict it.
- social engineering by those who gave themselves a mandate to eliminate injustice

- a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology despite the facts that may and do contradict it.
- social engineering by those who gave themselves a mandate to eliminate injustice and dispense happiness.

- a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology despite the facts that may and do contradict it.
- social engineering by those who gave themselves a mandate to eliminate injustice and dispense happiness.
 - somehow, engineering of the environment is bad

- a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology despite the facts that may and do contradict it.
- social engineering by those who gave themselves a mandate to eliminate injustice and dispense happiness.
 - we must preserve it "as is" and return to "nature"

- a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology despite the facts that may and do contradict it.
- social engineering by those who gave themselves a mandate to eliminate injustice and dispense happiness.
 - we must preserve it "as is" and return to "nature", even if it is a desert

- a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology despite the facts that may and do contradict it.
- social engineering by those who gave themselves a mandate to eliminate injustice and dispense happiness.
 quite a naturalistic fallacy, isn't it?

- a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology despite the facts that may and do contradict it.
- social engineering by those who gave themselves a mandate to eliminate injustice and dispense happiness.
 - but engineering the society

- a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology despite the facts that may and do contradict it.
- social engineering by those who gave themselves a mandate to eliminate injustice and dispense happiness.
 - but engineering the society, or even the human nature

- a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology despite the facts that may and do contradict it.
- social engineering by those who gave themselves a mandate to eliminate injustice and dispense happiness.
 - but engineering the society, or even the human nature is good

- a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology despite the facts that may and do contradict it.
- social engineering by those who gave themselves a mandate to eliminate injustice and dispense happiness.
 - even though the society is the most complicated system on the planet

- a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology despite the facts that may and do contradict it.
- social engineering by those who gave themselves a mandate to eliminate injustice and dispense happiness.

- a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology despite the facts that may and do contradict it.
- social engineering by those who gave themselves a mandate to eliminate injustice and dispense happiness.
- more socialism.

- a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology despite the facts that may and do contradict it.
- social engineering by those who gave themselves a mandate to eliminate injustice and dispense happiness.
- more socialism,
 - so according to Einstein's definition

- a faith in and an overriding commitment to the ideology despite the facts that may and do contradict it.
- social engineering by those who gave themselves a mandate to eliminate injustice and dispense happiness.
- more socialism,
 - it demands insanity.

The ideology is needed

 The ideology is needed because socialism attempts to go against the human nature.

• The ideology is needed because socialism attempts to go against the human nature.

 The ideology is needed because socialism attempts to go against the human nature.

Free individualist society does not need any ideology.

 The ideology is needed because socialism attempts to go against the human nature.

Free individualist society does not need any ideology.

 The ideology is needed because socialism attempts to go against the human nature.

Free individualist society does not need any ideology.

Social engineering is needed

 The ideology is needed because socialism attempts to go against the human nature.

Free individualist society does not need any ideology.

 Social engineering is needed to prevent human nature from being the driving force of human endeavors.

 The ideology is needed because socialism attempts to go against the human nature.

Free individualist society does not need any ideology.

 Social engineering is needed to prevent human nature from being the driving force of human endeavors.

 The ideology is needed because socialism attempts to go against the human nature.

Free individualist society does not need any ideology.

 Social engineering is needed to prevent human nature from being the driving force of human endeavors.

- The ideology is needed because socialism attempts to go against the human nature.
- Free individualist society does not need any ideology.
- Social engineering is needed to prevent human nature from being the driving force of human endeavors. Free individualist society does not need any social engineering.

 The ideology is needed because socialism attempts to go against the human nature.

Free individualist society does not need any ideology.

 Social engineering is needed to prevent human nature from being the driving force of human endeavors. Free individualist society does not need any social engineering.

 The ideology is needed because socialism attempts to go against the human nature.

Free individualist society does not need any ideology.

 Social engineering is needed to prevent human nature from being the driving force of human endeavors. Free individualist society

does not need any social engineering.

 insist that intelligent design of a human being is impossible

 insist that intelligent design of a human being is impossible (even by God).

- insist that intelligent design of a human being is impossible (even by God).
- claim that they are capable of

- insist that intelligent design of a human being is impossible (even by God).
- claim that they are capable of creation of something much more complex

- insist that intelligent design of a human being is impossible (even by God).
- claim that they are capable of creation of something much more complex than a human being:

- insist that intelligent design of a human being is impossible (even by God).
- claim that they are capable of creation of something much more complex than a human being: a human society.

- insist that intelligent design of a human being is impossible (even by God).
- claim that they are capable of creation of something much more complex than a human being: a human society.

- insist that intelligent design of a human being is impossible (even by God).
- claim that they are capable of creation of something much more complex than a human being: a human society.

claim that they are capable of creation of something much more complex than a human being: a human society.

claim that they are capable of creation of something much more complex than a human being: a human society.


They behave like amateurish inexperienced programmers who have no idea how difficult and tedious it is to produce an error-free software.

claim that they are capable of creation of something much more complex than a human being: a human society.

They behave like amateurish inexperienced programmers who have no idea how difficult and tedious it is to produce an error-free software.

So, they fail.

Havana, Cuba, 2016



- insist that intelligent design of a human being is impossible (even by God).
- claim that they are capable of creation of something much more complex than a human being: a human society.
- brand anyone who questions

- insist that intelligent design of a human being is impossible (even by God).
- claim that they are capable of creation of something much more complex than a human being: a human society.
- brand anyone who questions their ability to design

- insist that intelligent design of a human being is impossible (even by God).
- claim that they are capable of creation of something much more complex than a human being: a human society.
- brand anyone who questions their ability to design a functional and sustainable society

- insist that intelligent design of a human being is impossible (even by God).
- claim that they are capable of creation of something much more complex than a human being: a human society.
- brand anyone who questions their ability to design a functional and sustainable society a menace to humanity.

are responsible for

 are responsible for more human suffering

 are responsible for more human suffering, oppression,

 are responsible for more human suffering, oppression, and servitude

 are responsible for more human suffering, oppression, and servitude then any other forms of government

 are responsible for more human suffering, oppression, and servitude then any other forms of government in modern history.

- are responsible for more human suffering, oppression, and servitude then any other forms of government in modern history.
- always fail

- are responsible for more human suffering, oppression, and servitude then any other forms of government in modern history.
- always fail to deliver

- are responsible for more human suffering, oppression, and servitude then any other forms of government in modern history.
- always fail to deliver lasting freedom,

- are responsible for more human suffering, oppression, and servitude then any other forms of government in modern history.
- always fail to deliver lasting freedom, sustainable prosperity,

- are responsible for more human suffering, oppression, and servitude then any other forms of government in modern history.
- always fail to deliver lasting freedom, sustainable prosperity, and universal happiness

- are responsible for more human suffering, oppression, and servitude then any other forms of government in modern history.
- always fail to deliver lasting freedom, sustainable prosperity, and universal happiness that they promise.

- are responsible for more human suffering, oppression, and servitude then any other forms of government in modern history.
- always fail to deliver pasting freedom, sustainable prosperity, and universal happiness that they promise.

- are responsible for more human suffering, oppression, and servitude then any other forms of government in modern history.
- always fail to deliver lasting freedom, sustainable prosperity, and universal happiness that they promise.

and then they deceitfully claim that it was not socialism because it did not deliver!

- are responsible for more human suffering, oppression, and servitude then any other forms of government in modern history.
- always fail to deliver lasting freedom, sustainable prosperity, and universal happiness that they promise.
- are extremely difficult to abolish.

- are responsible for more human suffering, oppression, and servitude then any other forms of government in modern history.
- always fail to deliver lasting freedom, sustainable prosperity, and universal happiness that they promise.
 - are extremely difficult to abolish.

Socialism is a trap

Socialism is a trapeasy to fall into

Socialism is a trap easy to fall into but hard to break out from.

Socialism is

Socialism is a transitional state

Socialism is a transitional state towards permanent totalitarianism

Socialism is a transitional state towards permanent totalitarianism (like Communism).

Socialism is

Socialism is cancer

Socialism is cancer on humanity.

Take a word for it from someone who experienced the "blessings" of the socialist "paradise"

Now, it appears that it metastasized from the SU to the US.



