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ABSTRACT
In this paper, we study information freshness in intermittently connected sensor networks (ICSNs). ICSNs are emerging sensing applications and systems that are deployed in challenging environments (e.g., underwater exploration). Due to the inaccessibility of the environments, the data-collecting base station is usually not available inside the ICSN. Consequently, the newly generated data in ICSNs must be stored inside the network before uploading opportunities (e.g., autonomous underwater vehicles (AUVs)) become available. How to accurately quantify and effectively achieve the freshness of information stored in ICSNs pose a new challenge.

We propose a new algorithmic framework to attain optimal and efficient information freshness in ICSNs. We refer to it as MIF: maximization of information freshness in ICSNs. The goal of MIF is to maximize the freshness of data packets stored in ICSNs while incurring a minimum amount of energy cost in this process. We first consider a special case wherein nodes are not energy-constrained and show that with proper transformation, MIF can be modeled as a minimum cost flow problem, which can then be solved optimally and efficiently. For the general case that nodes are energy-constrained, we formulate it as an integer linear programming (ILP) problem and solve it optimally. We also propose time-efficient greedy algorithms for each case. Simulation results show that our algorithms achieve information freshness for ICSNs under different network parameters while incurring minimum energy consumptions.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Background. Maintaining information or data freshness has been an important task for any computer and information systems [17, 24]. For example, real-time databases maintain fresh views that are derived from data shared from multiple distributed sites [23, 36], and web caching and replication systems must ensure the freshness of its stored data in order to provide a robust and efficient Web infrastructure [3, 34].

In this paper we focus on information freshness for many emerging sensor networks that are deployed in challenging environments. Such sensing systems include underwater or ocean sensor networks [4, 5, 7, 15, 26], volcano and seismic sensor networks [25], underground sensor networks [29], volcano eruption monitoring, and glacial melting monitoring [6, 31]. In such challenging environments, it is not feasible to install base stations with power outlets in or near the network to collect the data or receive its network updates. The data collection and system updates in such networks are usually accomplished by sending autonomous underwater vehicles (AUVs) [4] or mobile robots [28] to visit the sensor field periodically. As the connection between the sensor nodes and the AUVs and robots are intermittent, we refer to such sensor networks as intermittently connected sensor networks (ICSNs).

We assume that data generation in an ICSN takes place in a time-slotted manner, starting from time slot 0. At some time slots, some events of interest occur inside the ICSN and the sensor nodes close to such events generate large volumes of sensory data related to the events. If the sensory data cannot be collected and uploaded timely by the AUVs and mobile robots (e.g., due to inclement weather in underwater exploration), it has to be stored inside the ICSN for some unpredictable amount of time. To characterize the freshness of the data packets stored inside the ICSN, we consider three typical stages of data generation and storage in the ICSN.

Stage 1. At time slot \( t_1 \geq 0 \), when a sensor node detects the events of interest, it generates sensory data packets and stores them at their local storages, as shown in Fig. 1(a). We refer to this stage as the local storing of a sensor node. When a data packet is stored locally at a sensor node, it is time-stamped with the current time slot number \( t_1 \) as its age (we will formally define the ages of packets and the ICSN in Section 3). As events of interest could occur at different locations inside the ICSN and at different time slots, different sensor nodes could store local data packets with different time stamps.

Stage 2. At time slot \( t_2 > t_1 \), as the large volumes of data packets are continuously generated at some sensor nodes, it depletes their storage spaces. We refer to this stage as local storage overflow. Such newly generated data packets that cannot be stored locally are referred to as overflow data. Fig. 1(b) shows that to preserve all the information sensed, the overflow data packets are time-stamped with the current time slot \( t_2 \) and then offloaded to neighboring sensor nodes with available storage to be stored.

1We use time slots for ease of presentation. The time stamps can indeed be in any formats and intervals, which do not affect our problem formulation and solutions.
Stage 3. Finally, at time slot $t_3 > t_2$, with the continuous data offloading while the uploading opportunity is still unavailable, the offloaded data overflows the available storage in the entire network, shown in Fig. 1(c). Such overall storage overflow, wherein the entire storage spaces of the ICSN are full while new data packets are still being generated, cannot be alleviated by data offloading in Stage 2.

A Motivating Example. Let’s consider an underwater exploration application wherein underwater camera sensors take pictures of the underwater environments while the AUVs are dispatched periodically to collect the pictures [4]. Consider 100 underwater camera sensors, each has 4 GB storage space. If 10 of them take one 640×480 JPEG color image per second with 3 bytes per pixel, it will just take around 10 hours to exhaust the storage spaces of all the 100 camera sensors and reach the overall storage overflow. In the events of inclement and stormy weather, which is not uncommon for underwater exploration, the AUVs cannot be dispatched and as such, the overall storage overflow could most possibly take place.

Contributions. We focus on how to maximize information freshness when overall storage overflow occurs in the ICSN. As the newest information provides the latest development of the monitored sensor field, it thus needs to remove some existing old data packets (referred to as stale data packets) in order to empty spaces for the newly generated data packets, as shown in Fig. 1(d). The challenge is how to replace existing stale data packets with new data packets in an energy efficient manner in order to maximize the information freshness in ICSN.

To tackle this challenge we propose a new algorithmic framework called MIF: maximization of information freshness in ICSNs. The goal of MIF is to maximize the information freshness while incurring minimum energy consumption in this process in a dynamic ICSN environment, wherein events of interest could occur anywhere in the ICSN and at any time slot. We consider two scenarios viz. without and with energy constraints for sensor nodes. For the former, we show that MIF can be modeled as a minimum cost flow problem, which can then be solved optimally and efficiently. For the latter, we formulate an integer linear program (ILP) to solve MIF optimally. In either case, we encode all the necessary network information (i.e., data, storage, and energy) into a flow network transformed from the ICSN graph to achieve information freshness in the ICSN. We prove that our algorithms indeed achieve maximum freshness of information while using minimum energy consumption in the ICSN. We also propose greedy heuristics for the purpose of comparison. Simulation results show that all our algorithms achieve good information freshness in ICSNs under different network parameters.

Paper Organization. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews the related work. Section 3 presents our system models, formulates MIF, and illustrates it with an example. In Section 4 we propose our solutions to MIF. Section 5 compares all the algorithms under different network dynamics and discuss the results. Section 6 concludes the paper and outline a few future research directions with some preliminary thoughts.

2 RELATED WORK

Data freshness has been an active research area for ICSNs such as underwater sensor networks [4, 11, 16, 35]. Basagni [4] et al. determined the collection path for the AUV so that the Value of Information (VoI) of the data delivered to the sink is maximized. Here VoI is defined as the difference between the time it is detected and the time it is delivered to the sink. Hollinger [11] studied how to plan the AUV path to maximize the information collected while minimizing traveling time of the AUV. They proposed variants of the traveling salesperson problem and solution. Khan et al. [16] improved the end-to-end data freshness by focusing on the long propagation delay of acoustic data together with slow AUV speed, and designed AUV path of traversal to deliver data to the sink in timely manner. Wang [35] considered that surfaced and diving of AUVs cost huge energy consumption and found a trajectory schedule to minimize number of surfacing for AUVs.

However, all above work assume the AUVs are always available to collect the data from the ICSNs and focus on how to plan the paths for AUVs. Therefore they are not applicable to the scenarios where the AUV cannot be dispatched due to inclement and stormy weather, which is often the case for underwater explorations. Our work instead focus on such extreme scenario and study how to maintain information freshness within the network itself when AUVs are not available to collect the data.

In recent years, the Age of Information (AoI) was proposed as a new performance metric to measure the information freshness in systems wherein timeliness of status updates is critical to their functions and operations [9, 30]. We review a few related work.

A few works explored using unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) to timely deliver status updates and to improve the AoIs in IoT or sensor networks. For example, Hu et al. [14] studied a UAV-assisted wireless powered IoT network. In their scenario, the UAV...
starting from a data center visits sensor nodes to transfer energy and collect data. In a multi-hop network, the average AoI of the collected data thus depends on the UAV’s trajectory. They designed dynamic programming and ant colony heuristic algorithms that achieved the optimal or near-optimal average AoI of the system. Abd-Elmagid et al. [2] used UAVs to minimize the average peak age-of-information for a source-destination pair. In particular, they jointly optimized the UAV’s flight trajectory as well as energy and service time allocations for packet transmissions.

Liu et al. [19, 20] considered multi-hop network wherein a sender sends a flow at fixed rate to a receiver using multiple paths. They studied how to minimize the maximum delay, the average delay, as well as how to find the Nash equilibrium. They designed two polynomial-time solutions that delivers 1−ε of the flow with maximum delay and average delay simultaneously within 1 4 to the optimums. In their followup work [21], they considered a batch of data transmission and minimized peak/average AoI subject to throughput requirements. They showed the problem is NP-hard and developed an optimal algorithm with a pseudo-polynomial time complexity. In [22], they again considered the single sender and single receiver, and proposed to minimize network transmission under both a maximum delay constraint and a throughput requirement and designed an approximation and heuristic algorithms.

However, all above existing AoI research assumes a designated receiver or base station that is always available in the system to receive the system update data. Therefore their techniques cannot be applied to the ICSNs studied in this paper, wherein the base station is not available. However, we do not claim that our work studied the same problem as theirs, as we consider a different problem setup, wherein the base station is not always available.

3 PROBLEM FORMULATION OF MIF

Network Model. We model an ICSN as an undirected graph G(V, E), where V = {1, 2, ..., |V|} is the set of |V| nodes and E is the set of |E| edges. All the sensor nodes have the same transmission range; two nodes are connected if their distance is less than or equal to the transmission range. Sensor node i ∈ V has storage capacity of m_i, indicating it can store m_i data packets. Let’s assume all the packets have the same uniform size of |k| bits. We consider the overall storage overflow of ICSN sensor nodes’ storage spaces are all full. That is, m_i old data packets are already stored at sensor node i. Let q = ∑_{i=1}^{|V|} m_i denote the total number of old data packets in the network, and O = {o_1, o_2, ..., o_q} the set of the old data packets. Denote the time stamp on old data packet j ∈ O as t_j ≥ 0. Recall that time stamp of a packet is the time slot at which packet was generated and stored in the ICSN. We assume that each time slot is long enough that the generation, offloading and storing of any data packet can all take place within one time slot. We denote the largest (i.e., latest) time stamp of all the old data packet as t_m; t_m = max(t_j), j ∈ O.

**Definition 1. (Age of a Data Packet and Age of the ICSN at the Beginning of Time Slot t) For any data packet, its age at time slot t ≥ t_m, denoted as A_i(t), is the time elapsed between the time slot at which it is generated and the current time slot t. That is, A_i(t) = t − t_j. Therefore for any old data packet j ∈ O, A_i(t) > 0 whereas for any newly generated data packet j ∈ N, A_i(t) = 0. The age of the ICSN G(V, E) at the beginning of time slot t, denoted as A(G, t), is the sum of the ages of all its data packets at this time slot. That is, A(G, t) = ∑_{j ∈ O∪N} A_j(t).**

**Note that we distinguish the ages of the ICSN at the beginning and at the end of the time slot t. As maximizing information freshness at time slot t is achieved by replacing some existing old data packets with the newly generated data packets, the ages of the ICSN at the beginning and the end of t are different. The goal of MIF is to replace the least fresh data packets among the q old data packets O to achieve maximum data freshness in a most energy-efficient manner. We refer to the least fresh data packets as stale packets, which are defined as below.**

**Definition 2. (Stale Packets and Stale Nodes at the Beginning of Time Slot t) Stale packets, denoted as S, are a old data packets in ICSN that have the largest ages at the beginning of time slot t. Let S = {s_1, s_2, ..., s_q} ⊆ O. Denote the sensor node where old packet s_j ∈ S is stored as s(j). The set of sensor nodes storing at least one stale packet are called stale nodes, denoted as S = {s_1, s_2, ..., s_q}. Given any stale node i ∈ S, denote the number of its stored stale packets as ξ_i; that is, ξ_i = |{j | s(j) = s_i, j ∈ S} |.**

Note that if a sensor node i is both a data node and a stale node (i.e., i ∈ V_d ∪ V_s), it can replace its old data packets locally with its new data packets without incurring any energy cost. Thus if b_j ≥ ξ_i, i is considered as a data node with b_j − ξ_i new data packets; otherwise, i is a stale node with ξ_i − b_j stale packets. For ease of presentation, we still use b_i and ξ_i to denote the number of new data packets at i (if i is a data node) and the number of stale packets at i (if i is a stale node), respectively.
Table 1: Notation Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$G(V, E)$</td>
<td>ICSN graph with $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_i$</td>
<td>Storage capacity of sensor node $i \in V$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q$</td>
<td>Total number of old data packets in $G$, $q = \sum_{i \in V} m_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O$</td>
<td>$O = {o_1, o_2, ..., o_q}$ is the set of $q$ old data packets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_d$</td>
<td>$V_d = {1, ..., l}$ is the set of $l$ data nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_j$</td>
<td>Number of new data packets generated at $i \in V_d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>$a = \sum_{i \in V_d} b_i$ is the total number of new packets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>$N = {n_1, n_2, ..., n_a}$ is the set of $a$ new packets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d(j)$</td>
<td>The data node of a data packet $n_j \in N$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S$</td>
<td>$S = {s_1, s_2, ..., s_2} \subseteq O$ is the set of $a$ stale packets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_s$</td>
<td>$V_s = {S_1, S_2, ..., S_{</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s(j)$</td>
<td>The stale node of stale data packet $n_j \in O$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\xi_j$</td>
<td>Number of stale data packets at stale node $S_j \in V_s$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_j(t)$</td>
<td>The age of data packet $j \in N \cup O$ at time slot $t$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A(G, t)$</td>
<td>The age of the $G$ at the beginning of time slot $t$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A'(G, t)$</td>
<td>The age of the $G$ at the end of time slot $t$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_i$</td>
<td>Initial energy level of sensor node $i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E'_i$</td>
<td>Remaining energy of sensor node $i$ after MIF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E'_j(v)$</td>
<td>Transmission energy of $u$ to send one packet to $v$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E''_u$</td>
<td>Receiving energy of $u$ to receive one data packet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>Data offloading function for MIF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_j$</td>
<td>The offloading path of new data packet $n_j \in N$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_i, j$</td>
<td>Node $i$'s energy cost of offloading data packet $D_j$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G'$</td>
<td>Flow network for MIF without energy constraint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G''$</td>
<td>Flow network for MIF with energy constraint</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After replacing the stale packets in stale nodes, the age of $G(V, E)$ at the end of time slot $t$, denoted as $A'(G, t)$, becomes $A(G, t) = \sum_{j \in S} A_j(t)$. This is the minimum age $G$ can achieve at the end of $t$.

Energy Model. Sensor node $i \in V$ has initial and finite energy power of $E_i$. We adopt the well-known first order radio model [10] for wireless energy consumption. When node $u$ sends a $k$-bit data packet to its one hop neighbor node $v$ over their distance $d_{u,v}$ meters, the transmission energy spent by $u$ is $E'_u(v) = e_{elec} + k + e_{amp} + k + l^2_{u,v}$, the receiving energy by $v$ is $E''_v = e_{elec} + k$. Here $e_{elec} = 100\text{mJ}/\text{bit}$ is the energy consumption per bit on the transmitter circuit and receiver circuit, and $e_{amp} = 100\text{pJ}/\text{bit/m}^2$ is the energy consumption per bit on the transmit amplifier. Let $E_{u,v} = E'_u(v) + E''_v$, we have $E_{u,v} > E_{u,\emptyset}$. Denote the minimum energy consumption sending a data packet from sensor node $i$ to sensor node $j$ as $c(i,j)$. We assume that the sensor nodes in ICSN have enough energy such that all data packets in $N$ can be offloaded to the stale nodes, and leave the case wherein not all the packets can be offloaded as a future work. Table 1 shows all the notations.

Problem Formulation of MIF. We define offloading function as $r : N \rightarrow V_s$, indicating that new data packet $n_j \in N$ is offloaded from its data node $d(j) \in V_d$ to a stale node $r(j) \in V_s$ to replace one of its stale packets. Let $P_j : d(j), ..., r(j)$, referred to as the offloading path of $n_j$, be the sequence of distinct sensor nodes along which $n_j$ is offloaded from $d(j)$ to $r(j)$. Let $y_{i,j}$ be node $i$’s energy cost of offloading $n_j$. Let $E'_i$ be node $i$’s remaining energy level at the end of time slot $t$ after all the $a$ new data packets are offloaded to some stale nodes. Then, $E'_i = E_i - \sum_{j=1}^{a} y_{i,j}, \forall i \in V$. The goal of MIF is to offload the $a$ new packets to the stale nodes to replace their $a$ stale packets while a) minimizing the total energy consumption $\sum_{i \in V} \sum_{j \in N} y_{i,j}$ during the data offloading and b) satisfying the energy constraint of sensor nodes $E'_i \geq 0$.

**EXAMPLE 1.** Fig. 2 shows a linear ICSN with four sensor nodes $1$-$4$, each has one storage capacity with one old packet being stored. Each edge has 1 unit of energy cost. At the beginning of time slot $t$, the ages of the old packet from left to right is 1, 2, 1, 2, respectively. Therefore, $A(G, t) = 6$. During time slot $t$, nodes 1 and 3 each generates one new data packet and become data nodes. The optimal solution of MIF is to replace node 2's old data packet with node 1’s new packet, and node 4's old packet with 3’s new packet, costing minimum energy of 2 and resulting in $A'(G, t) = 2$ at the end of time slot $t$. Other data offloading solutions are not optimal. For example, although offloading node 3’s new data packet to node 2 and node 1’s to node 4 resulting in $A'(G, t) = 2$ as well, it incurs 4 amount of energy.

4 ALGORITHMIC SOLUTIONS TO MIF

4.1 The Case Without Energy Constraint MIF-W

We first consider that sensor nodes do not have energy constraint, and refer to the problem as MIF-W. Recall the minimum energy consumption of sending a data packet from sensor node $i$ to sensor node $j$ is $c(i,j)$. In MIF-W, $c(i,j)$ is thus the cost of the shortest path between $i$ and $j$ where the weight of an edge $(u, v) \in E'$ is $E_{u,v} = E'_u(v) + E''_v$. We show that MIF-W can be modeled and solved as a minimum cost flow (MCF) problem on properly converted graphs. We thus briefly review MCF and its algorithms first.

Given a directed graph $G' = (V', E')$ with a source node $s$ and a sink node $t$, each edge $(u, v) \in E'$ has a capacity $c_{u,v}$ as well as a cost $d_{u,v}$. Let $f(u, v)$ be the flow on an edge $(u, v) \in E'$. The goal of MCF is to find a flow function $f$ to minimize the total cost of transmitting $y$ amount of flow from $s$ to $t$, i.e. $\sum_{u,v \in E'} d_{u,v} \cdot f(u, v)$, subject to (a) capacity constraint: $f(u, v) \leq c_{u,v}, \forall (u, v) \in E'$, (b) flow conservation constraint: $\sum_{v \in S} f(u, v) = \sum_{u \in S} f(u, v), \forall u \in V'$, (c) the net flow out of $s$ and the net flow into $t$ are both zero. MCF can be solved efficiently by many combinatorial algorithms [27]. We adopt the algorithm by Goldberg [8], which is the authoritative implementation of the scaling push-label algorithm and has the highest performance codes available for such network optimization. It has the time complexity of $O(|l|^2 \cdot \text{log}(l \cdot n))$, where $l$, $m$, and $n$ are the number of nodes, number of edges, and maximum edge capacity of $G'(V', E')$.

Transforming an ICSN to a Flow Network. We then convert the ICSN graph $G(V, E)$ in Fig. 2 to a flow network $G'(V', E')$ in Fig. 3 following below five steps.

First, $V' = \{s\} \cup \{t\} \cup V_d \cup V_s$, where $s$ is the source node and $t$ is the sink node in the flow network. $V_s = \{1, 2, ..., l\}$ is the set of data nodes and $S = \{S_1, S_2, ..., S_{|V_s|}\}$ is the set of $|V_s|$ stale nodes.

Second, $E' = \{(s, i) \cup \{(i, S_j)\} \cup \{(S_j, t)\}, \forall i \in V_d$ and $S_j \in V_s$. Note it is a complete bipartite graph between $V_d$ and $V_s$.

Third, for each edge $(s, i)$, set its capacity as $b_i$, the number of new data packets at data node $i \in V_d$, and cost as 0. For each edge
We also propose a more time-efficient greedy algorithm for MIF-W.

Figure 3: Flow network $G'(V', E')$ transformed from ICSN graph $G(V, E)$ for IoA without energy constraint.

$$(S_j, t),$$ set its capacity as $\xi_j$, number of stale packets at stale node $S_j \in V_s$, and cost as $0$.

Fourth, for each edge $(i, S_j)$, set its capacity as $b_i$ and cost as $c(i, S_j)$, the minimum energy cost sending one packet from data node $i \in V_d$ to stale node $S_j \in V_s$.

Finally, set the supply at $s$ and the demand at $t$ as $a$, the number of new data packets generated at time slot $t$ in the ICSN. With above transformation, $|V'| = |V| + 2$ and $|E'| = |L| + |E| + 1 \cdot |V_s|$.

**Theorem 1.** MIF-W in $G(V, E)$ is equivalent to MCF on $G'(V', E')$.

**Proof:** We show that by applying MCF algorithm upon $G'(V', E')$, it guarantees that all the $a$ overflow new data packets are offloaded to stale nodes $V_s$ to achieve the minimum age $A_t(G, t)$ in $G(V, E)$ while incurring minimum energy cost and respecting the storage constraints of sensor nodes.

First, each of the $a$ new data packets are offloaded to exactly one stale node. As the amount of supply at $s$ is $a = \sum_{i \in V_d} b_i$ while the capacity of each edge $(s, i)$ is $b_i$, a valid flow of amount from $s$ to $t$ must have $b_i$’s amount on edge $(s, i)$. Next, as the capacity on each edge $(i, S_j)$ is $b_i$, then $b_i$’s amount of flow must come out of any node $i \in V_d$ and go to the stale nodes in $V_s$. As each flow is unsplitable, each of the data packets must arrive at one stale node.

Second, that $\xi_j$ stale packets are replaced at stale node $j \in V_s$ is enforced by setting the capacity of edge $(S_j, t)$ as $\xi_j$. Consequently, $G$ achieves minimum age $A_t(G, t) - \sum_{j \in S} A_j(t)$, as the $a$ old data packets in $S$ have the largest ages among all the old packets in $G$.

Third, MIF-W achieves the minimum energy cost in offloading the $a$ new data packets in $G$. When applying MCF algorithm upon $G'$, in which the cost on edge $(i, S_j)$ viz. $c(i, j)$ is the energy cost of offloading one packet from data node $i$ to stale node $S_j$, it thus gives the minimum total energy cost.

**4.2 A Greedy Algorithm for MIF-W**

We also propose a more time-efficient greedy algorithm for MIF-W. In Alg. 1, each data node $i$ offloads its $b_i$ new packets to its closest stale nodes, until all the new data packets are offloaded. Finding

![Flow network G'(V', E') transformed from ICSN graph G(V, E) for IoA without energy constraint.](image1)

![Flow network G''(V'', E'') transformed from ICSN graph G(V, E) for IoA with energy constraint.](image2)

the shortest stale node for any data node takes $O(|V|^2 \log(|V|))$. Therefore, the time complexity of Alg. 1 is $O(|V|^2 \log(|V|))$.

**Algorithm 1.** A Greedy Algorithm for MIF-W.

**Input:** An ICSN graph $G(V, E)$ with a set of new data packets $N$;

**Output:** $r : N \rightarrow V_s$;

1. for $(1 \leq i \leq l)$ // each data node
2. while (not all of its $b_i$ new packets are offloaded)
3. Find the stale node in $V_s$ closest to $i$ that still has stale packets not being replaced, say it is node $j$;
4. Offload min($b_i, \xi_j$) new packets to $j$ along the shortest path between $i$ and $j$;
5. Update the energy levels of all the nodes involved;
6. end while;
8. end for;
9. RETURN $r : N \rightarrow V_s$.

**4.3 The General Case of MIF**

When sensor nodes have finite energy levels, it is possible that some of them deplete their energy power, making the data offloading process to maximize information freshness more challenging. We first convert the ICSN $G(V, E)$ to another flow network $G''(V'', E'')$ (shown in Fig. 4) by representing node $i$’s energy level as capacities of some edges in $G''$. The conversion has the following six steps.

First, it replaces each undirected edge $(i, j) \in E$ with two directed edges $(i, j)$ and $(j, i)$ of capacities $+\infty$.

Second, it splits node $i \in V$ into two nodes in-node $i'$ and out-node $i''$ and adds a directed edge $(i', i'')$ with capacity of $E_i$, the initial energy level of node $i$.

Third, all incoming directed edges of node $i$ are incident on $i'$ and all outgoing directed edges of node $i$ emanate from $i''$. Therefore the two directed edges $(i, j)$ and $(j, i)$ are now changed to $(i'', j')$ and $(j', i'')$. We assign the costs of all directed edge $(i'', j')$ as $E_{i,j} = E_i^t(j) + E_j^t$, the sum of node $i$’s transmission energy to $j$ and node $j$’s receiving energy, the costs of directed edges $(j'', i'')$ as $E_{j,i} = E_j^t(j) + E_i^t$, the sum of node $j$’s transmission energy to $i$ and node $i$’s receiving energy, and the costs of all other edges as zeros.
Fourth, it connects a super source node $s$ to the in-node $i'$ of the data node $i \in V_d$ with an edge of capacity $b_i$, the number of data packets at data node $i$.

Fifth, it connects the out-node $i''$ of the stale node $S_t \in S$ to a super sink node $t$ with an edge of capacity $\xi_t$, the number of stale packets at $S_t$. Finally, it sets the supply at $s$ and the demand at $t$ as $a$, the number of overflow data packets in the ICSN. Therefore $|V'| = 2\cdot |V| + 2$ and $|E'| = l + |V| + |V_e| + 2\cdot |E|$.

ILP Formulation. Next, we apply below ILP (A) on $G'(V', E')$ and prove it minimizes the total cost in MIF. Here, $x_{i,j}$ and $c_{i,j}$ are the amount of flows and cost on edge $(i,j) \in E'$, respectively:

$$\min \sum_{(i,j) \in E'} x_{i,j} \times c_{i,j}$$

subject to

$$x_{s,i'} = b_i, \quad \forall i \in V_d$$

$$x_{i'',t} = \xi_t, \quad \forall i \in V_s$$

$$x_{s,i'} + \sum_{j \in (i,j) \in E} x_{j'',j'} = \sum_{j \in (i,j) \in E} x_{j',j'',} \quad \forall i \in V_d$$

$$\sum_{j \in (i,j) \in E} x_{j',j''} = \sum_{j \in (i,j) \in E} x_{j'',j'}, \quad \forall i \in V_s$$

$$E'_i \times \sum_{j \in (i,j) \in E} x_{j',j''} + \sum_{j \in (i,j) \in E} (E'_j \times x_{j',j''}) \leq E_i,$$

$$\forall i \in V_d$$

$$E'_i \times \sum_{j \in (i,j) \in E} x_{j',j''} + \sum_{j \in (i,j) \in E} (E'_j \times x_{j',j''}) \leq E_i,$$

$$\forall i \in V_s$$

Eqn. 2 and 3 combined require all the $a = \sum_{i \in V_d} b_i$ new data packets in the ICSN will replace all the $a = \sum_{i \in V_s} \xi_i$ stale packets in the ICSN. Eqn. 4 shows the flow conservation for data nodes, wherein the total number of new packets transmitted by a data node equals the number of its own offloaded new packets plus the number of new data packets it relays for other data nodes. Eqn. 5 shows the flow conservation for stale nodes, wherein the total number of new packets it receives equals the number of packets it relays plus its stored stale packets. Inequalities 6 and 7 represent the energy constraint of data nodes and stale nodes respectively. Above graph conversion technique and ILP were used in our previous work [13] to solve a related data resilience problem in ICSN.

Theorem 2. MIF in $G(V, E)$ with energy constraint is equivalent to solving above ILP (A) on $G'(V', E')$.

Proof: Besides the proof in Theorem 1, we need to show that the energy constraint is satisfied in ILP (A) in MIF. That is, node $i$ does not spend more energy than its initial energy level $E_i$. This is accomplished by Inequalities 6 for data nodes and 7 for stale nodes. For data node $i$, the r.h.s of Inequality 6 has two terms: $i$’s receiving energy and its transmission energy, the sum of which is less than $E_i$. Inequality 7 works similarly for a stale node $i$.

4.4 A Greedy Algorithm for MIF

Definition 3. (Bottleneck Capacity.) Given a data node $i$ and a stale node $j$ in ICSN graph $G(V, E)$, let $P(i,j)$ be the shortest path between $i$ and $j$ in terms of energy cost. For each node $k$ on $P(i,j)$, including $i$ and $j$, let the energy consumption of sending (for $i$), receiving (for $j$), and relaying (for other nodes on $P(i,j)$) one data packet be $e_k$. Denote the bottleneck capacity between $i$ and $j$ in $G$ as $B(i, j, G)$. $B(i, j, G) = \max\{\{\frac{k}{e_k}\}, k \in P(i,j)\}$

That is, $B(i, j, G)$ is the maximum number of packets that can be delivered from $i$ to $j$ following the energy constraints of all the nodes on $P(i,j)$. Algo. 2 below then works as follows. For each data node $i$, it first finds the closest stale node that still has stale packets not being replaced, say $j$, and computes $B(i, j, G)$. It then compares it with a number of new packets at $i$ (i.e., $new_i$) and number of stale packets at $j$ (i.e., $stale_j$), and offloads the smallest (among these three numbers) numbers of packets from $i$ to $j$. Note that $c(i, x)$ is the energy cost on the shortest path from node $i$ to node $x$ in the induced graph $G[V']$, where $V'$ excludes the energy-depleted nodes from $G$. As different from Algo. 1, it now needs to deal with energy depletions of sensor nodes that could occur in the freshness maximizing process.

Algorithm 2. A Greedy Algorithm for MIF.

Input: An ICSN graph $G(V, E)$ with a set of new data packets $N$;

Output: $r : N \rightarrow V_s$;

$new_i$: number of new packets to be offloaded from data node $i$;

$stale_j$: number of stale packets to be replaced at stale node $j$;

$G[V']$: induced subgraph of $G(V, E)$ on $V'$, initially $V' = V$.

1. for $(1 \leq i \leq l) // each data node$
2. while $(new_i > 0)$
3. $j = \arg\min c(i, x)$ and $stale_j > 0$;
4. Let $q = \min\{new_i, stale_j, B(i, j, G[V'])\}$;
5. Offload $q$ new packets from $i$ to replace $q$ stale packets at $j$ following its shortest path;
6. Update the energy levels of all the nodes involved, let the set of nodes whose energy levels become zeros be $V_0$;
7. $V' = V' \setminus V_0, new_i = new_i - q, stale_j = stale_j - q$;

end while;

10.end for;

11.RETURN $r : N \rightarrow V_s$. 

Figure 5: Comparing algorithms for MIF-W by varying number of packets per data node $b_j$. Number of data nodes $l = 10$. 
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5 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

We compare the performance of different algorithms in ICSNs. For MIF-W, we compare MCF-based optimal (referred to as MCF) with greedy algorithm viz. Algo. 2 (referred to as Greedy). For MIF, we implement ILP-based optimal (referred to as ILP) using CPLEX [1]; we also implement the other greedy algorithm viz. Algo. 1 (referred to as Greedy too as the context is clear). We write our own simulator in Java on a Linux workstation (Ubuntu 20.04 LTS) with AMD processor (Ryzen 2600X) and 16GB of memory. In our simulations, 100 nodes that are uniformly distributed in a ICSN region of 1000m × 1000m. The transmission range of sensor nodes is 250m. In all the cases we set the storage capacity of sensor nodes \( m_j \) as 20. That is, initially each node has 20 old data packets with various time stamps. At some time slot \( t \), some nodes generate new data packets and become data nodes. Each of the new and old data packet is of 512B. The timestamp of each packet is a random number in \([1, 100]\) or \([1, 1000]\). For the case of MIF, each node has 0.8 Joule of initial battery capacity. Each data point in the plots is an average of 500 trials.

Algorithms for MIF-W. We first compare algorithms for MIF-W. Fig. 5(a) and (b) vary the number of new data packets per data node \( \delta_i \) while setting the time stamp (i.e., age) of each old data packet as a random number in \([1, 100]\) and \([1, 1000]\), respectively. It shows that in both cases, MCF outperforms Greedy due to its optimality in energy cost. Fig. 6 increases \( l \) from 10, 20, 30, to 40 while setting \( \delta_i \) as 30, which shows again that MCF outperforms Greedy. However, in all the above cases, their performance differences do not change when it switches from time stamp of 100 to 1000, due to the randomness of packets’ ages in both cases. Nonetheless, both MCF and Greedy achieve maximum information freshness in the ICSN as the stale packets with maximum ages (i.e., time stamps) are able to be replaced by newly generated packets.

Algorithms for MIF. Next, we compare the algorithms for MIF, wherein each node has an initial energy capacity of 0.8 Joule and the ages of data packets are in the range of \([1, 1000]\). All the tested ICSN instances are feasible, which means all the stale packets can be replaced. Fig. 7(a) varies \( b_i \) from 25, 50, 75, to 100 while setting \( l \) as 10 while Fig. 7(b) varies \( l \) from 5 to 20 while fixing \( b_i \) as 50. Compared to MIF-W case (i.e., Fig. 5(b) and Fig. 6(b)), it is observed that the energy costs in MIF are slightly higher. As some nodes deplete their battery power, replacing some stale packets takes longer path in MIF than in MIF-W, incurring more energy cost.

We also study the fault tolerant capability of both ILP and Greedy. Fig. 8 shows the number of energy-depleted nodes resulted by apply them on an arbitrary ICSN instance. Greedy results in more energy depleted nodes than ILP does, as it is less energy-efficient than ILP. Nonetheless, both algorithms are fault-tolerant while still achieving maximum information freshness.

Comparing MIF-W and MIF. Finally, we quantitatively compare the results of MIF-W and MIF. In particular, we show the performance differences between MCF and Greedy in MIF-W, as well as the performance differences between ILP with Greedy in MIF. This is done by computing the percentage differences between the corresponding data values from Fig. 5, 6, and Fig. 7. Table 2 shows the results of varying \( b_i \). The general observation is that the performance difference between MCF and Greedy in MIF-W is slightly larger than the performance difference between ILP and Greedy in MIF. This demonstrates that Greedy performs better in challenging scenario of limited energy. Table 3 gives the performance differences of algorithms in MIF and MIF-W by varying \( l \). This time, we observe that performance differences of algorithms in MIF is evidently smaller than that in MIF-W, showing the Greedy is promising freshness maximization algorithm.

6 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper we presented a new algorithmic framework to study the information freshness in intermittently connected sensor networks (ICSNs) that are deployed in challenging environments. Applications of ICSNs include underwater or ocean sensor networks,
Table 2: Performance Differences by Varying $b_t$ (%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$b_t$</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIF-W (Fig. 5(a))</td>
<td>20.63</td>
<td>19.89</td>
<td>20.80</td>
<td>21.41</td>
<td>20.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIF-W (Fig. 5(b))</td>
<td>20.56</td>
<td>22.06</td>
<td>21.19</td>
<td>21.06</td>
<td>21.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIF (Fig. 7(a))</td>
<td>18.35</td>
<td>18.78</td>
<td>19.82</td>
<td>19.60</td>
<td>19.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Performance Differences by Varying $l$ (%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$l$</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIF-W (Fig. 6(a))</td>
<td>19.64</td>
<td>24.95</td>
<td>26.32</td>
<td>28.48</td>
<td>24.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIF-W (Fig. 6(b))</td>
<td>19.72</td>
<td>25.23</td>
<td>28.97</td>
<td>30.41</td>
<td>26.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIF (Fig. 7(b))</td>
<td>18.90</td>
<td>21.63</td>
<td>22.45</td>
<td>22.75</td>
<td>21.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

volcano and seismic sensor networks, and underground sensor networks. Our goal is to maximize the information freshness of data packets stored in ICSNs while incurring minimum energy consumption in this process.

We proposed optimal minimum cost flow-based and ILP-based algorithms as well as efficient heuristic algorithms. Our approach addresses the dynamic scenario as well since the algorithms can be periodically executed to respond to the dynamic generations of new data packets at any time slot. As our work is the first one to study information freshness in ICSNs, we plan a few future research directions as follows. Currently, our work assumed all the new packets can be offloaded. This however may not be the case when sensor nodes have very limited battery power. Therefore we will study under which condition that not all the new packets can be offloaded; and if so, how to maximize the information freshness in this case. Second, we will consider some specific correlation model wherein nodes with close proximity store data packets with similar time stamps, and study how this could induce more efficient algorithms for information freshness maximization. Finally, we will study the hardness of the general MIF problem and propose efficient approximation algorithms with performance guarantees.
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